

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/701,064	Applicant(s) BOSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner SUSAN TRAN	Art Unit 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SUSAN TRAN. (3) ____.
- (2) Yang Tang. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to incorporate the followings into all independent claims: 1) the limitation "Cmax which is at least about 50% greater than the Cmax exhibited by the non-nanoparticulate glipizide formulation"; 2) the limitations of claim 20; 3) the limitation "nanoparticulate spray dried" with respect to the glipizide particles. It was also suggested to delete the term "about" in phrases that recite the term "less than". Applicant also proposed to cancel all method of making claims, which are directed to claims 40-57. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation, including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment